

**UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK**

In re:

PURDUE PHARMA L.P., et al.,

Debtors

Chapter 11

CASE NO. 19-23649

**OBJECTION, TO THE OFFICIAL COMMITTEE OF UNSECURED CREDITORS MOTION FOR SOLE
STANDING TO LITIGATE BY INDIVIDUAL PRO SE CLAIMANT, [REDACTED], A WOMAN
PERSONALLY INJURED BY PRESCRIPTION OPIATES**

Background Statement:

As an individual directly harmed and impacted by long-term, long-acting prescription opiates, combined with other prescribed drugs, and having prior experience in the pharmaceutical field before the Pain Management System was corrupted by Purdue Pharma and the broader pharmaceutical/medical/governmental industrial complex, it is my intention to prevent further abuse of patients and the public. My goal is to advocate for necessary changes to the System, corrupted by the actions of Purdue Pharma and others, to improve prevention efforts and advocate for future legislation based on my insights and life-altering experiences caused by long-term opiate therapy, which inflicted permanent damage on my body, family, and property.

The pharmaceutical, healthcare, and insurance industries, alongside government agencies and the justice department, are all collaborating to appear to "abate" the opioid crisis and it seems they are primarily using chemicals to do it. More time, effort and money, seems to be spent creating a Self-Funding System that paves the way to continue the root causes of the crisis with no accountability for those who are complicit in enabling it. Namely, the continuation of the same practices that caused the crisis to occur and enabled it to continue for so long due to the changes made to Healthcare and its Regulatory System within government.

My direct claim is supported by medical records documenting my prescription history since 2005; however, I began long-term opiate therapy in and around 2002, but those records were unavailable to me. Notably, I suffered a major overdose in 2005, leading to an incident where my children found me unresponsive after school. That was not the only instance of being over-medicated. Additional background and documentation of my good-faith efforts to have a positive impact upon the changes needed in our healthcare system can be found in previous Docket submissions.

As mentioned previously, I worked for well over a decade in the pharmaceutical field within the Healthcare System, prior to the implementation of long-acting opioids by the Sackler family, Purdue Pharma and the "cabal of enablers", who made the changes to the Healthcare System that

continue. I was not your typical pharmacy support person. I was accepted into Pharmacy School but formally withdrew prior to beginning due to personal reasons. During the time I worked in the field, I observed how diversion can occur within the system and how certain measures uncovered and prevented it. I also have almost two decades of experience taking prescribed opioid medications and I understand clearly how the measures I have advocated for could have prevented me and others from misusing them.

I also have experience as a pharmaceutical buyer for a pharmacy management company that provided all the drugs for the prison system in the state I was in at the time. I understand how the system works and I believe that taxpayers will effectively be funding the purchase of all the abatement drugs provided to that population, whether at cost or not. That effectively creates a self-feeding loop of profit and control for the corrupted System. The opioid/partial opioid combination drugs being used for abatement have only been typically appropriate for illegal drug use, and they do not treat pain. As I have previously stated, long-acting opiates are the cause of the crisis, in my experience, and the Lord helped me to wean myself off of them using short-acting opiates and medical marijuana. I no longer use medical marijuana but just knowing it is available, should I need it, greatly decreases the anxiety associated with chronic pain. It was like a bridge to help me with pain since the long-term use of long-acting opiates damaged my pain receptors. I do not however, believe marijuana is appropriate for illegal drug users as it has detrimental effects if used long-term, just as opiates do, just not as dangerous or deadly.

In addition, I believe that by instituting wide-spread use of patient-friendly Unit-Dose packaging for all narcotics and psychotropic drugs, it could help prevent accidental poisoning of recreational or illegal drug users with illicit fentanyl and other chemicals by having legitimate drugs manufactured in tamper-proof containers. Narcotics should not be used in the quantities that are currently being prescribed, even though it has declined. This case is the Foundational case that enabled the opioid crisis to occur and if nothing is done to correct the changes made to the overall System, this Court and the agents negotiating the case are effectively sanctioning the corrupt practices to continue.

The fact that this Court gave immunity to the lawyers negotiating this case is very telling. They all mostly ignored Direct Claimants input and instead bulldozed their plan through, knowing there would be no accountability and now here we are, five years later, looking at Sole Standing for the very same people.

Evidence to Support the Objection:

To free myself from prescription opiates, I underwent a transformational experience through faith in Jesus Christ, gaining the courage to speak out against the power structures that prioritize profitability over societal well-being. I believe the injustice perpetrated by the enablers to the opioid crisis must be addressed. Key points include:

1. **Fraud and Misrepresentation:** The evidence contained within the Complaint filed by the Official Committee of Unsecured Creditors, filed on July 8, 2024, documenting fraud by the

"cabal" of debtors and Sackler family members has been known by the justice department and the lawyers involved in negotiating this case since its inception. Despite this, they presented to the court and individual creditors that the Sackler family's trusts were untouchable.

The fraudulent transfers outlined in the Complaint, were known to the parties negotiating this case and yet they spent the past several years draining the estate of value, only to now use them to justify their Motion for Sole Standing to litigate this case. It is well-known that fraud vitiates a contract.

2. **Profit Over Patients:** The plan crafted by, what can only be called a, 'cabal of enablers'—including State Attorney Generals, hospitals, the healthcare industry, medical professionals, lawyers, government agencies, and others—prioritizes profit over patients, which is what motivated Purdue Pharma and the Sackler family in the first place. It exploits victims with direct claims and the public by ignoring the root causes of the opioid crisis and creates a self-perpetuating cycle of control and profit from ongoing chemical dependence in the Recovery community and prison system, while effectively excluding God and denying reality by allowing the implementation of the DEI agenda through SAMHSA and the Department of Recovery who writes the guidelines to treat this vulnerable population.

The enablers were complicit in effectively paving the way for the opioid crisis to occur and continue for so long by the changes they made to the System, some of which are outlined in the Complaint. Their handling of "abatement" reflects the same profiteering mindset that Kathe Sackler expressed on page 121 of the Complaint, seeking to capitalize on addiction treatment through continued chemical dependence. The plans currently being implemented in states by the Derivative Creditors, who have already received the bulk of opioid trust funds, fail to adequately correct the root causes of the crisis as they push chemicals and effectively ignore voices like mine. The handling of this case was a missed opportunity to influence the systemic changes, that were implemented across multiple industries, which has allowed for the continuation of practices with similar strategies to victimize people who are suffering.

3. **Complicity in the Crisis:** Purdue Pharma's use of Practice Fusion to promote opioid prescriptions, under the guise of a "clinical decision support program", highlights the healthcare industry's complicity in the crisis. Similar practices continue, in other drug realms, with the use of a "suicide/depression risk questionnaire", which effectively increases the prescribing of antidepressants and other drugs, without significantly addressing the root causes. The overuse of vaccines and the promotion of the transgender agenda by mental health and medical communities, driven by profit motives, further exemplify the systemic corruption. In addition, the increase in prescription narcotics to treat learning disabilities, rather than properly assess young children and provide appropriate learning environments has continued and produces young adults primed for addiction.

4. **Systemic Enablement:** Hospitals, providers, legislators, and government agencies, along with Big Pharma and others, have all contributed to the opioid crisis through their continued negligence by not adequately addressing my plea for more safe narcotic's packaging and inadequate changes to Shut the Front Door to the problem. While some legal actions have been taken, they fail to address the underlying greed and complicity that allowed the crisis to develop. Input from Derivative Creditors who were complicit should not be prioritized over Direct victims and they should instead be held accountable for their actions.

Federal Government's Role: Federal agencies tasked with regulating drug safety and ensuring safe guidelines within the Healthcare/Mental Health Industry, the Pharmaceutical industry, the Insurance Industry, and the Criminal Justice System have all been complicit in enabling the opioid crisis. While it is necessary for them to be involved in solving it; the corrupted Systems they created should not be allowed to continue because those strategies have carried over into other drug realms. Getting rid of all advertising for pharmaceuticals should be considered.

The federal government has exacerbated the illegal drug crisis by accelerating and enabling the explosion of human and drug trafficking across our borders, demonstrating their lack of concern for public safety. They seem only interested in creating and implementing a Self-feeding Loop for illegal drug addicts and the drugs that can control them, at tax payer expense, through the criminal justice system. If changes are not implemented through the Purdue Pharma case to correct the systemic issues enabled by the foundational changes permitted by regulators, those who enable the situation to continue will be just as culpable as the Sackler family, Purdue Pharma, and their network of lawyers and business partners, in my opinion as a survivor.

Supreme Court Decision:

The Supreme Court emphasized the importance of transparency, and protection for Direct Claimants. The compensation set aside for them is insufficient, and was derived without even considering the evidence or validity of Individual Direct Claims. This District Court had/has the opportunity to correct the systemic failures that created the opioid crisis due to the fact that the crisis began with the changes to the system that allowed the actions of Purdue Pharma and the Sackler family, and other drug companies. Personally, I am more interested in fixing the problems that enabled the crisis to happen and preventing further abuse of patients by the corrupted Healthcare System and their government regulators than I am in receiving 'blood money'. I survived and I thank God. If I do not speak out about my experience and this injustice, then I am not being obedient to Him and He didn't save me so I could stand by silently.

The Supreme Court stressed the need for fair settlements and meaningful engagement with affected parties. However, the **Joint Response to Mediation Requests**, filed by the Official Committee of Unsecured Claims and the Debtors, indicates a lack of Good faith intention for genuine engagement. "Experts" caused and enabled this crisis and are now creating the

“solutions” they will be paying themselves to implement, without any accountability for their complicity in enabling it to happen. By claiming that Direct Claimants will receive vigorous representation by employing additional “experts”, who do not effectively engage them; it shows a lack of meaningful engagement because they have not been willing to meaningfully engage Direct Claimants during this or any previous Mediation discussions. The ‘illusion of inclusion’ is not meaningful engagement for victims with valid Direct Claims.

Mediation:

The Supreme Court stated that only a handful of Canadian Municipalities and one lone individual did not support the plan. Why then are the same people involved in previous Mediations the only ones effectively in Mediation? Direct Claimants like myself, are not given serious consideration by the teams of lawyers negotiating and mediating this case, in the Judge’s own words at the hearing on September 3, 2024, when he stated than no individual claims were being mediated or considered.

In fact, it seems as though the parties want to take this case to litigation, thereby draining the estate of further value as they fill their own pockets. They are seeking Sole Standing and yet treat all victims as subordinate to the government. Our system of government was created to protect the rights of the Individual and to limit government, but the opposite is happening with this case, and so many others.

Conclusion:

For the reasons outlined above, I respectfully request that this court deny the Motion by the Official Committee of Unsecured Creditors, supported by the Debtors, to have Sole Standing to litigate this case UNLESS certain remedies are considered and implemented through discussions in Mediation. My suggestions have been consistent, reasonable and based on Truth. I do believe it makes sense to have the people already familiar with the case litigating it, if it comes to that, but not if they are going to continue to ignore valid concerns like mine. Claiming that “abatement” drugs are safe to take for a life-time is putting people in a chemical prison while the Derivative Creditors hold the keys and guard the Back Door while the Prescribers stand at the Wide-Open Front Door, waving people in, prompted by promotional advertising.

Respectfully Submitted without prejudice, as an heir to the Sovereign benefits guaranteed in the Constitution of the United States,

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

September 13, 2024

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

[REDACTED] INDIVIDUAL woman, Pro Se Claimant, certifies that the above referenced document and associated attachments were presented by email on September 13, 2024, to the following:

Debtors' Counsel

- **Davis Polk & Wardwell LLP**

450 Lexington Avenue
New York, NY 10017
<https://www.davispolk.com/>
Phone: 212.450.4000
Fax: 212.701.5800

Marshall S. Huebner
Timothy Graulich
Eli J. Vonnegut

- **United States Trustee**

U.S. Federal Office Building
201 Varick Street, Suite 1006
New York, NY 10014
<https://www.justice.gov/ust-regions-r02>
Phone: 212.510.0500
Fax: 212.668.2255

- **United States Bankruptcy Court**

Southern District of New York
300 Quarropas Street
White Plains, NY 10601-4140
<http://www.nysb.uscourts.gov>
Phone: 212.668.5637

- **Akin Gump Strauss Hauer & Feld LLP**

One Bryant Park
New York, NY 10036
<https://www.akingump.com/en/>
Phone: 212.872.1000
Fax: 212.872.1002
Arik Preis
apreis@akingump.com