

**UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK**

In re:

PURDUE PHARMA L.P., *et al.*,

Debtors

Chapter 11

CASE NO. 19-23649

Statement in Support of the Motion to Extend Mediation

As an INDIVIDUAL woman, injured by long-term opiate therapy, I am making this statement in support of the Motion to Extend Mediation, hoping to prevent further depletion of the Estate through prolonged litigation. My hope is that the Sackler family, Debtors, and Derivative Creditors will demonstrate good faith by addressing some of the foundation level changes made within the Healthcare System that enabled the Opioid Crisis to develop and continue for so long.

Thus far, most Opioid Settlement Funds have been awarded to the Enablers of the crisis, including governments, healthcare entities, and others who have refused to 'Shut the Front Door' to the problem. These entities were complicit in the crisis by making the systemic changes that allowed the Sackler family and Purdue Pharma to facilitate and perpetuate the opioid epidemic, as outlined in the Complaint by the Official Committee for Unsecured Creditors.

Similar practices continue, in other drug realms, with the use of a "suicide/depression risk questionnaire", which has effectively increased the prescribing of psychotropic antidepressants and other drugs, without significantly addressing the root causes. Purdue Pharma's use of Practice Fusion to promote opioid prescriptions, under the guise of a "clinical decision support program" highlights the healthcare industry's complicity in the crisis. The overuse of vaccines and the promotion of the anti-reality, transgender agenda by mental health, medical communities, and the government, further exemplify the systemic corruption. In addition, the increase in prescription narcotics to treat learning disabilities, rather than properly assess young children and provide appropriate learning environments continues to produce young adults primed for addiction. These are all Enabled by the complicity of many of the Derivative Creditors, who have been given primacy in this case, and others.

Much of the handling of "abatement", as I see it, reflects the same profiteering mindset that Kathe Sackler expressed on page 121 of the Complaint, seeking to capitalize on addiction treatment through the promotion of continued chemical dependence. Despite claiming to address the crisis, the approach seems to focus primarily on the 'Back Door' to the problem and maintaining control with continued chemical dependency to opioids and other drugs. By changing the definition of 'opioids' and 'opioid products', along with the word 'promote', it demonstrates the willingness of the Enablers to deceive, under the color of law. In my experience of weaning myself off opioids, I found that many involved in the Recovery Community and relevant authorities were uninterested in hearing from those outside their control. The very same people who were complicit in enabling the crisis are now in charge of the 'solution', without any accountability for their systemic enablement. I wouldn't be able to state all of this if I hadn't experienced the past five years. Their efforts worsen the stigma by focusing solely on the "Back Door" to the problem, in my opinion, because they hold the Keys.

While I support the **Motion to Extend Mediation** and hope that litigation can be avoided, I must highlight my concerns about the definitions provided in the motion. I believe the 'legal maneuvering' to change the definitions of "Opioid(s)," "Opioid Product(s)," and "Promote," "Promoting," and "Promotion" are absurd and undermine a genuine desire to abate the opioid crisis. In my opinion, having taken many different opioids, for an extended period of time and suffered the damaging effects, personally, it is deceptive.

1. **Selective Exclusion:** The definitions exclude methadone, buprenorphine, and suboxone when used for addiction treatment, despite these substances interacting with opioid receptors like other opioids. This exclusion is based on intended use, not chemical nature or harm potential. It is done, in my opinion, so that agencies, like SAMHSA, and others, can 'promote' their use under the guise of 'education' and 'evidence-based treatment', while ignoring the power of God and alternate methods of treatment.
2. **Regulatory Inconsistency:** Excluding certain opioids used in addiction treatment weakens regulatory oversight, as these substances still carry risks of misuse and diversion. Children born to mothers who are taking, what effectively are opioids, in treatment are still at great risk of developing NAS, something that should be obvious in this foundational case. If corrections to the System are not made here and instead, the corrupt practices are sanctioned by the Court, the mistreatment of the suffering will continue, unabated.
3. **Promotion Hypocrisy:** The definitions create a loophole allowing the promotion of certain opioids without proper scrutiny, even though these substances can also be overprescribed or misused

In conclusion, while I am making this Statement to Support the Motion to Extend Mediation, the selective exclusion of certain substances from the definitions of "Opioid(s)" and "Opioid Product(s)" creates an inconsistent and hypocritical regulatory approach to abatement. While there may be appropriate uses for these drugs in certain circumstances, these foundational issues should not be manipulated to continue the type of deception that occurred to cause the opioid crisis, as outlined in the Complaint. I look forward to settling my involvement in this matter and I hope and pray that the relevant parties will do the right thing. I am attaching a news article, written by Dr. David Martin, which outlines, quite well, the complicity I reference above.

Respectfully submitted without Prejudice,

A large black rectangular redaction box covering the signature of the author.

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I, [REDACTED], INDIVIDUAL woman, Pro Se Claimant, certifies that the above referenced document and associated attachments were presented by email on September 2, 2024, to the following:

Debtors' Counsel

- Davis Polk & Wardwell LLP

450 Lexington Avenue
New York, NY 10017
<https://www.davispolk.com/>
Phone: 212.450.4000
Fax: 212.701.5800

Marshall S. Huebner
Timothy Graulich
Eli J. Vonnegut

- United States Trustee

U.S. Federal Office Building
201 Varick Street, Suite 1006
New York, NY 10014
<https://www.justice.gov/ust-regions-r02>
Phone: 212.510.0500
Fax: 212.668.2255

- United States Bankruptcy Court

Southern District of New York
300 Quarropas Street
White Plains, NY 10601-4140
<http://www.nysb.uscourts.gov>
Phone: 212.668.5637

EXHIBIT C

Exhibit C consists of a copy of the letterhead memorandum dated and captioned as above, which is being submitted to the Court for its review and consideration.

Very truly yours,

Special Agent in Charge

Enclosure

cc: [redacted]

cc: [redacted]

cc: [redacted]



More ▾

Create Blog Sign In

Inverted Alchemy: An Integral Economy

Wealth and the metrics used to measure it have become increasingly devoid of the dimensionality intrinsic to humanity. Welcome to the discussion of a new vision and an alternative Ancient Future of Wealth.

Sunday, September 16, 2018

Addicted to Death...Purdue Pharma and Oxycontin



<https://potent.media/history-of-the-opium-wars>

Purdue Pharmaceuticals has been in the middle of a firestorm of controversy regarding its role in the epidemic of opioid abuse in the United States. As is often the case, once a case of death-inducing corporate profiteering rises high enough on the public radar, everyone from **John Oliver** to **Stephen Colbert** to headline grabbing U.S.

Attorneys jump on the


bandwagon decrying the evils of the Sackler family. And let's face it: Mortimer Sackler and his off-spring knew that they were selling a drug that would lead to addictions. And recently, they have been awarded a 20 year monopoly on the treatment of the very addictions from which they profited.

In their December 22, 1997 patent filing (U.S. Patent 6,277,384 filed by Robert F. Kaiko and Robert D. Colucci – both of Connecticut - with the assistance of Davidson, Davidson & Kappel LLC based in New York City), they acknowledge the engineering of compounds that are engineered to optimize analgesic effects in human patients that are classified as “physically dependent addicts”. Navigating through the thorny addiction minefield of all opioids, they stated that “preferably, the amount of naltrexone included in the oral dosage form is less positively reinforcing (e.g., less “liked”) to a non-physically dependent opioid addict than a comparable oral dosage form without the antagonist included”. By 2001, knowledge of opioid abuse and dosage tampering led Purdue's researchers (working for Euro-Celtique SA – a Luxembourg company) to invent “bittering agents” to make drug abuse less palatable (U.S. Patent 7,141,250).

Several of Purdue's early opioid patents were set to expire at the end of 2018. But courtesy of the United States Patent & Trademark


<http://www.invertedalchemy.com/2018/09/addicted-to-deathpurdue-pharma-and.html>

Breath of Life Song



The Song I Wrote for Kim

Coup d'Twelve



My Debut Novel...

Office and the United States Food & Drug Administration accommodations and complicity in protecting Purdue's monopoly on death, subtle modifications to the formulation with **no substantive new invention** have extended the company's proprietary position until the mid-2020s. Oxycontin®'s march of death will continue to enrich Purdue years after their addiction engineering plans should have expired.

Purdue's recently granted patent holds an ominous calculus. In U.S. Patent 9,907,793, they confidently assert that the public trade-off between abuse and benefit justifies the use of the drugs. "Especially the physical dependence of patients suffering from pain to opioid analgesics leads to the development of tolerance, meaning that upon extended intake, increasingly higher doses of the pain relieving agent have to be taken by the patient, in order to experience pain relief. The euphoregenic effect of opioid analgesics often leads to the abuse of pain relievers. Drug abuse and psychological dependence are a common phenomenon, especially among teenagers. These dangerous effects are especially caused by the substances with strong analgesic capacity, and can range from undesired habituation to fully developed addiction. However, these substances are legitimately used for medical purposes and **medicine cannot do without them.**"(emphasis added)


And, a little trip down memory lane – if we can be lucid enough to take that journey – would suggest that the inevitability of opioid use and its associated addiction just comes with the territory. Since Portuguese sailors started mixing opium and tobacco in the 16th century leading to the economic rise (and fall) of a fair few empires to Frederick Sertuerner's definition of opium's active ingredient in 1803, countless mercantile forces have preyed on the addictive properties of opioids. Merck & Co began its commercial manufacturing of morphine in the 1820s and Britain's Dr. Alexander Wood started shooting it up in 1843. At the turn of the most recent millennium, reportedly over 89 million prescriptions were written in the U.S. And, not surprisingly, Shire Pharmaceutical's U.S. Patent 7,375,082 notes that "as prescription numbers rise, the number of emergency room visits and deaths from overdose increase correspondingly." This was written in 2002! Get with the program Colbert and Oliver! The profiteering on this death has been around a lot longer than the satire that now seeks to profit on the evils of the profiteers!

For nearly two decades, medicine has seen over 500 patents issued to address minimizing or preventing opioid addiction and abuse. But as Dr. Lynn Webster published in *Pain Medicine* (Vol 10:Supp2, July 2009), "none of these formulations are currently commercially available." Ironically, the publication of her paper was brought to you by..., you guessed it... a pharmaceutical company!

Let's be clear. Purdue has published in its patent filings its


For a different narrative on the events of the past 12 years and how they impact our world, take a journey across the world in my new novel, *Coup d'Twelve*

Integral Accounting



Follow this link to Learn More

The Monetary System in the U.S.



The 1959 Discount Corporation investigation discussed in the August 21, 2010 blog post

Another Look at the World

Make sure you catch the latest on the Heritable Innovation Trust And make sure you read James Quilligan's elegant summary of the role of the Commons

Enough To Go Around

knowledge of addiction and abuse risk for nearly two decades. With Massachusetts, New York, Tennessee, Oregon, Colorado, Texas, North Carolina, North Dakota and Florida joining the rush to prosecute Purdue Pharma, several parties may be worth considering as "co-conspirators". The U.S. Patent & Trademark Office has approved patents that clearly set forth evidence of knowledge of drug abuse. The U.S. Food & Drug Administration (charged with safeguarding the public) has supported monopolistic distribution of a harmful substance. What precisely makes their complicity less odious than that of a bishop covering up sex abuse in the church? Why is it that we're allowing agencies of the U.S. government a pass for letting this epidemic get out of control when they've directly supported the very company responsible for selling a harmful substance?

Oh, maybe because we like to pretend that we disapprove of the death and carnage associated with drug abuse but our state health care programs, tax authorities, and "health care" companies are enriched by the suffering of millions.

We are not serious about the pain and suffering caused by opioids any more now than we were when we saw our modern political and economic systems hatched in opium dens. If any of the states or litigating parties want to get to the root of the problem, they're ignoring the evidence that is publicly available from two U.S. government agencies that have been complicit in making the abuse possible.

x

 Share 29  Tweet 304  Email 4

Posted by David Martin at 12:52 AM

2 COMMENTS:



Anonymous July 11, 2024 at 6:19 PM

Well Done !

Reply

Anonymous July 14, 2024 at 4:20 PM

🤔OMG Dave! Under EVERY rock there appears to be yet another CRIME AGAINST HUMANITY ... deliberately committed by the pharmaceutical industry ... AND approved by the government agencies they have captured! THANK🙏YOU for your exhaustive research and bravery in



Blood Into Gold

Inspired by Inverted Alchemy and the dreams of a more conscious humanity, please engage in the vision of Peter Buffett and Akon with whom this inspired piece came into being...see the March 19, 2009 post or click the image below

[Invert the Alchemy](#)

About Me



 David Martin

Charlottesville,
Virginia, United States

[View my complete profile](#)

Blog Archive

- 2023 (3)
- 2022 (1)
- 2021 (4)

