

February 25, 2022

UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

Chapter 11; Case No. 19- 23649
PURDUE PHARMA L.P., et al., Debtors

STATEMENT ON THE EIGHTH MONITORING REPORT

The following is in response to some of the statements made in the EIGHTH MONITOR REPORT,
[126021750233-rep-1308040909 \(1\).pdf](#)

Concerning advertising on websites:

- It won't matter if the drug company's website doesn't advertise because the agencies and establishments created to regulate, instruct and administer programs are doing it for them under the guise of "education" or "evidence-based practice", while evidence of non-patentable alternatives is ignored, demonized or unstudied.
 - [Practical Tools for Prescribing and Promoting Buprenorphine in Primary Care Settings \(samhsa.gov\)](#)
- Other publications from the samhsa.gov site on Marijuana read like they were written in 1979, yet some of the detrimental effects listed are valid. But No mention of more recent evidence that there are very valid Beneficial properties, as well. This is brought up at this time to demonstrate the abject hypocrisy of the System. Opiates kill, marijuana does not.
 - [Know the Risks of Marijuana | SAMHSA](#)
 - By lumping the therapeutic use of Medical Marijuana in with all illegal substances, with no acknowledgement that it may help some pain patients recover from prescription opiate use as it eases pain, the establishment is denying recent evidence that it is, in fact, medicine, and should be treated as such and included in studies to treat pain patients recovering from the damage of prescription opiate use.
 - [Appendix A – Results from the 2019 National Survey on Drug Use and Health: Detailed Tables, SAMHSA, CBHSQ](#)

Everyone with OUD and/or chronic pain is NOT an illegal drug addict and by neglecting their issues, it only increases the stigma for people seeking medical assistance for chronic pain or just pain in general. Survivors with STANDING and RECEIPTS in this case, should not be further mistreated by the System by relegating their voices as unheard by diluting compensation for their damages by giving preference to parties with NO RECEIPTS.

Equal treatment under the law should enable Claimants who actually have STANDING and RECEIPTS in this matter to be heard and compensated based on their individual circumstances, not limited by the mass number of claims with few receipts. That is UNFAIR treatment enabled BY the Law.

Individuals, representing themselves as a man or woman, should be given PRIMARY opportunity to receive damages against their claim, especially if they want to help others and have no history in the criminal justice system.

